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The Provision of Open Space in New Residential and Commercial Development
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This document provides guidance on the requirements for the provision of open space in new residential and commercial development proposals. It supplements Policies 16, 17 and 19 of the Nottingham City Aligned Core Strategy (2014) and Policies EN2 and IN4 of the Local Plan Part 2.
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Nottingham City has a historic network of open and green spaces whose legacy dates back from the 18th century and beyond. Despite the City’s tight urban boundary, 25% of the City’s total land area is made up of open and green spaces. These provide opportunities for recreation and enjoyment, improving our citizens health and wellbeing, they allow space for increasing the City’s biodiversity, they help to mitigate and adapt to climate change, as well as contributing to the quality and visual look of the City.

Nottingham City Council recognises the importance of its open spaces, and has set a number of targets which this Supplementary Planning Document will help to achieve. These include ensuring Nottingham becomes a ‘bee friendly’ city with suitable habitats in every neighbourhood, and planting at least 10,000 trees across the city. Well designed and high quality open space will also contribute to reducing and offsetting carbon emissions, helping Nottingham meet its pledge to become the first carbon neutral city in the country by 2028, and it can also promote physical activity and active travel, thereby improving the health outcomes of our citizens.

It is vital that we continue to maintain the City’s open space network for all of these reasons by both providing new spaces on development sites and improving existing spaces nearby. These improvements can benefit people’s health and wellbeing, provide opportunities for recreation, and benefit biodiversity.

This SPD sets out how the City Council will calculate the amount of open space required in developments or, in some cases, the off-site contributions required instead, and how these contributions will be allocated for spending. It also considers how these spaces should be managed in the future so that they remain high quality places for everyone to enjoy.

Councillor Linda Woodings
Portfolio Holder for Planning, Housing and Heritage
2.0 Purpose and Status of the Document

2.1 The previous Open Space Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG), “Planning Guidelines for the Provision of Local Open Space in New Residential Development”, was adopted in October 1997 (updated July 2011). Since then new national and local planning policy has come into force and the SPG is now considered to be out of date both in terms of current planning guidance and the level of contributions required.

2.2 This Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) expands on the Saved Policies of the Nottingham Local Plan (2005) and the Nottingham Aligned Core Strategy (2014). It also aligns with the Local Plan Part 2, Land and Planning Policies Document (LAPP). The SPD conforms with the National Planning Policy Framework and once adopted it will replace the 1997 SPG and be a material consideration when determining planning applications.

2.3 This SPD has been prepared to explain the implications of Policy EN2 (Open Space in New Development) and IN4 (Developer Contributions) as contained in the LAPP.

Further Information

2.4 If you would like any further information on this SPD please contact the Planning Policy Team at the address below, by e-mail: localplan@nottinghamcity.gov.uk, or by phone on (0115) 876 4594.

Planning Policy Team,
Loxley House,
Station Street,
Nottingham, NG2 3NG
3.0 Introduction

3.1 Nottingham City has a historic network of open and green spaces within its tight urban boundary with over 25% of the City’s total land area being made up of green spaces. This provides opportunities for recreation and enjoyment and contributes to the quality and visual amenity of the City, and contributes to the City’s wildlife habitat/biodiversity.

3.2 The majority of these spaces are public parks, natural spaces, green corridors, allotments, cemeteries and sports grounds. There are also a number of large parks such as Wollaton Park, Colwick Country Park and Bulwell Hall Park.

3.3 Open and green spaces, and experiencing nature and wildlife contribute significantly to the health and wellbeing of those that live, work and visit the City. They can promote mental and physical health by providing places for relaxation and stress alleviation, and social interaction, supporting physical activity, and reducing exposure to air pollutants.

3.4 The City Council recognises the importance of open space and aspires to create a ‘bee friendly’ city with suitable habitats in every neighbourhood. It considers that high quality open space will also contribute to reducing carbon to help make Nottingham a carbon neutral city.

3.5 To preserve and enhance these open spaces, and develop new ones, a strategic approach towards their future planning, development and management is considered critical.

3.6 Masterplanning will secure strategic open space provision and ensure that land ownerships and the phasing of development do not undermine or compromise such provision. In respect of the following Local Plan sites: Island Site (PA68), Waterside (PA76, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 85), and Stanton Tip (PA11), their scale necessitates a strategic approach to open space provision. The Local Plan also recognises the need to comprehensively coordinate open space provision across other sites that are in close proximity to each other such as PA3 - Eastglade, PA5 - Ridgeway, PA6 - Beckhampton Road, PA8 - Eastglade Road, and PA9 - Edwards Lane.

3.7 Where open space contributions are required from developers, this SPD provides information on the type and amount of open space, financial contributions in lieu of on site provision, payment procedures and other relevant information.

3.8 Providing open space will not only help to meet the requirements of this SPD, but can also contribute to the aim of increasing biodiversity and developing climate change resilience in the City. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems, for example, can contribute to open
space provision, increase biodiversity, and reduce surface water run-off/flooding. The Biodiversity Supplementary Planning Document provides additional guidance on open space provision and should be considered alongside this Open Space SPD.

3.9 The LAPP defines the Open Space Network as follows:

“The Open Space Network includes parks, nature reserves, public and private playing fields, golf courses, allotments, community gardens, cemeteries, play spaces, woodland, banks and towpaths of rivers and canals, other vegetated paths and track ways, squares, public spaces, public realm and other incidental spaces. Buildings that are an inherent part of the open space such as a pavilion are included. This ‘network’ of open spaces makes a major contribution to the visual character and image of Nottingham, provides for a range of recreation needs and is important for its landscape value and nature conservation interest. Some open spaces within the network, such as private sports grounds, institutional grounds and allotments do not have public access but still fulfil an important role in terms of providing resilience to flooding and climate change, enhancing biodiversity and contributing to the visual character of the City and therefore have been included in the network. Whilst private gardens make an important contribution to the network of green links across the City, these areas are not normally designated as part of the Open Space Network unless of particular importance, in which instance are included on the Policies Map. Likewise, smaller incidental spaces of less than 0.5 hectares are not shown on the Policies Map, unless of particular importance.”

3.10 Given the limited scope for the provision of new open space, due to the built-up nature of the City, the quality and accessibility of existing open spaces is considered very important.
4.0 Planning Policy Context

National Planning Policy Framework

4.1 This SPD accords with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), 2019. Paragraph 96 states that:

“Access to a network of high quality open spaces and opportunities for sport and physical activity is important for the health and well-being of communities. Planning policies should be based on robust and up-to-date assessments of the need for open space, sport and recreation facilities (including quantitative or qualitative deficits or surpluses) and opportunities for new provision. Information gained from the assessments should be used to determine what open space, sport and recreational provision is needed, which plans should then seek to accommodate.”

4.2 The National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) states:

“Open space should be taken into account in planning for new development and considering proposals that may affect existing open space (see National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 96). Open space, which includes all open space of public value, can take many forms, from formal sports pitches to open areas within a development, linear corridors and country parks. It can provide health and recreation benefits to people living and working nearby; have an ecological value and contribute to green infrastructure, as well as being an important part of the landscape and setting of built development, and an important component in the achievement of sustainable development. It is for local planning authorities to assess the need for open space and opportunities for new provision in their areas. In carrying out this work, they should have regard to the duty to cooperate where open space serves a wider area.”
Local Policy Context

Local Plan Part 1 - Nottingham City Aligned Core Strategy (adopted 2014)

4.3 The Nottingham City Aligned Core Strategy (Core Strategy hereafter) includes a suite of strategic policies to successfully deliver sustainable development in the City to 2028.

4.4 The Core Strategy policies most relevant to the provision of open space are:

- Policy 16: Green Infrastructure, Parks and Open Space
- Policy 17: Biodiversity
- Policy 19: Developer Contributions

4.5 These policies can be found at Appendix 1.
Local Plan Part 2 – Nottingham City Land and Planning Policies Development Plan Document (LAPP)

4.6 Policy EN2 (Open Space in New Development) and IN4 (Developer Contributions) of the LAPP are of particular relevance to this SPD.

4.7 Policy EN2 (Open Space in New Development) sets out the Council’s requirements for the provision of open space in new development;

“Where necessary developer contributions will be sought (or secured through the Community Infrastructure Levy, if implemented) to enhance existing areas of open space, or else make provision for additional areas of open space on site or within the locality.

The City Council will negotiate with developers for the provision of publicly accessible or other open space, including play areas or informal areas for wildlife where appropriate, where it is considered there is a need created by the development. The type of open space will vary depending on the location of the site and size of development, but may for example include improvements to the public realm in the City Centre, provision of play areas, or enhancement to the River Trent green corridor with a shared footpath and cycleway. Where the open space is primarily for the benefit of the development itself, the City Council will require the developer to enter into a management agreement to maintain the open space. If the developers wish the City Council to adopt the space, a commuted sum for maintenance will be sought.

There may be circumstances where the location and physical characteristics of the site and the surrounding area, including the presence of wildlife sites, may preclude or restrict the creation of new publicly accessible or other open space. In these circumstances the City Council will negotiate a contribution from the developer to enhance existing local open space, provide links to adjacent or nearby open space, or improve access. This could, for example include joint use of school playing fields.”
4.8 Policy IN4 (Developer Contributions) sets out the Council’s approach to developer contributions;

“1. Development will be expected to meet the reasonable costs of new infrastructure or services required as a consequence of the proposal. Where necessary planning obligations will be negotiated to support the following:

a) employment and training in accordance with Policy EE4

b) open space in accordance with Policy EN2 and EN3, relevant site allocations;

c) drainage and flood protection in accordance with Policy CC3, relevant site allocations

d) transport in accordance with Policies TR1 and TR2, relevant site allocations;

e) community facilities including education in accordance with Policy LS5;

and

f) affordable housing in accordance with Policy HO3, relevant site allocations.

2. Other planning obligations may be necessary to make developments acceptable in planning terms and may include: heritage enhancement and protection, supporting policing/crime reduction and air quality measures. The scale and nature of direct provision will be identified as a result of the need arising from the development.

3. If an applicant considers there to be issues of viability due to the level of contributions being sought which render a proposal undeliverable, they will be required to submit robust viability assessments. These will be independently examined before the scale and nature of any reduction is agreed.
The City Council recognises that planning obligations have a key part to play in the successful delivery of the Local Plan Part 2, the Sustainable Community Strategy (the Nottingham Plan to 2020), and the effective management of the environmental, social and infrastructure demands of development and continuing economic growth. Where new development creates a need for new or improved infrastructure, contributions from developers will be sought to make the development acceptable in planning terms. Contributions from a particular development will be fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the relevant scheme and directly related to the development. This policy establishes the principle of setting charges for how some S106/planning obligations can be discharged (employment and training, open space, transport measures, education and affordable housing). Supplementary planning documents will be used to provide further guidance and to set the charges that are established by the Policy where necessary.

The City Council is exploring the potential of introducing a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL). If introduced, the approach to infrastructure to be funded through planning obligations will be modified accordingly.

4.9 For sites allocated in the Local Plan Part 2, the Development Principles set out further design guidance and due regard should be made to these in any detailed layout for any proposed scheme on an allocated site.

Infrastructure Delivery Plan

4.10 The Council has prepared an Infrastructure Delivery Plan to accompany the LAPP, which assesses a range of infrastructure requirements, their phasing, costs and implications on plan wide viability. In terms of open space, it concludes that the scale of development resulting from site allocations in the LAPP will offer significant opportunities to provide new and/or enhanced open space and Green Infrastructure/biodiversity within Nottingham.

4.11 A significant proportion of areas of open space affected by the allocation of sites are not publically accessible. Their development provides opportunities to form new publicly accessible open space.
5.0 Background

Quality and quantity of open space

5.1 Whilst there is good provision of open space across the City (see paragraph 3.1), the quality of that open space is variable.

5.2 The 2008 audit of the City's open space showed that the average quality score of the City's sites was just 43%, or below average of all open spaces, with considerable investment needed to improve this towards the national Green Flag standard.

5.3 The former Planning Policy Guidance 17 (PPG17) audit, as detailed in the 2011 Breathing Space Strategy, recorded the quantity of open space of different typologies in the City. It also categorised spaces by hierarchy according to their size and facilities available – these being Destination, City, Neighbourhood and Local sites. This identified an existing provision of 5.4 hectares of open space per 1000 people. The Council are requiring contributions based on the parks and gardens element of this, which equates to 2.4 hectares per 1000 people. In relation to this, the Council has adopted a hierarchy of open space, and applied the following accessibility standards for the City's population; a Destination site within 5km, a City site within 1km, a Neighbourhood site within 800m and a Local site within 600m – across all typologies.

5.4 The 2018 Playing Pitch Strategy and Action Plan (PPS) updated the previous audit of the City's playing pitch provision and made recommendations for the upgrade of existing pitches and creation of new ones to meet identified shortfalls and future demand. In 2019 a Local Football Facilities Plan (LFFP) was also produced.

5.5 In March 2018, a series of Parks and Open Spaces Investment Plans were endorsed by the City Council’s Area Committees. These outline the investments needed over the next five years (to 2023) to provide a high quality network of open spaces to meet the needs of the City’s growing population. The prioritisation of projects in the Investment Plans will be according to need, development sites and available funding. The plans will be updated annually to reflect progress and other factors such as changing demands. Recommendations from the PPS and LFFP will feed into future updates of the Parks and Open Spaces Investment Plans.

5.6 When off-site Section 106 contributions are required from a development, the Investment Plans will guide where and how this will be spent. In some instances, further consultation with local Councillors will inform these decisions, whilst ensuring the contributions are relevant to the development and take account of the current Section 106 pooling restrictions.
6.0 Planning Obligations and Provision Options

6.1 Although each case will be considered on its own merits, this SPD sets the starting point for the provision of open space established by Policy.

6.2 The City Council will seek to secure open space provision either through planning conditions or more normally through the negotiation of a legal agreement under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. Where a S106 agreement is entered into, then it will need to be completed prior to the granting of planning consent.

6.3 If an applicant considers there to be issues of viability due to the level of contributions being sought which render a proposal undeliverable, they will be required to submit robust viability assessments. These will be independently examined before the scale and nature of any reduction is agreed.

New Residential Development, including Student Accommodation

6.4 Open space requirements for new development will equate to the City’s current provision of 2.4 hectares of parks and gardens per 1000 people/bedspaces.

6.5 Provision of open space contributions on site or through Section 106 agreements will apply to all major residential developments of 10 or more dwellings, including conversions.

6.6 Proposals of 9 or fewer units will not be required to contribute to open space requirements unless they are capable of accommodating 10 or more residential units or form part of a larger developable site for residential units. They will be required to have regard to the need to provide private amenity space, landscaping, biodiversity enhancements and communal areas to enable informal play/social interaction. The Biodiversity SPD will also be relevant to these applications.

6.7 For residential developments with no private outdoor space or gardens, such as apartments, open space contributions are arguably more important as more demand will be placed on local open space from such developments.

Student accommodation

6.8 Students are major users of the City’s open spaces, therefore new purpose built student accommodation of 10 or more bedspaces will be required to contribute to open space provision on a per bedspace basis. See example in Appendix 2.
Open space provision in elderly persons accommodation

6.9 Elderly persons accommodation will be exempt from open space contributions. Such exemptions however will be conditional on appropriate ‘private space’ being made available and maintained to the satisfaction of the City Council to meet those residents’ needs.

Should provision be on or off site?

6.10 The provision of on-site open space or a financial contribution towards existing open spaces will be determined by a number of factors through discussion at pre-application or application stage and will include:

- The Local Plan allocation and requirements as set out in the development principles
- Masterplans and Supplementary Planning Documents for the site
- The size of the development site
- The proximity of the site to existing open space and the suitability of nearby provision to cater for the needs of the new development, including the typology and hierarchy of nearby spaces
- The on-site offer including its accessibility and ‘usability’ by new residents

On-Site Provision

6.11 If new open space is provided on site, it will be based on an average number of people across the proposed different house types (number of people x 24 sq m, see Table 1). The most appropriate type of green space to be provided and its location and design will be considered through the planning process.

6.12 Under exceptional circumstances the City Council may be willing to adopt some sites. This would be subject to discussion with the relevant department (Parks and Open Spaces Service 0115 915 2733) and will also be subject to payment of commuted sums covering a maintenance period of 25-30 years.

6.13 Alternatives to adoption of open space by Nottingham City Council include the establishment of a private management company funded by residents of the new development, or the maintenance of open space by a third party. The City Council will normally include these arrangements within Planning Conditions/s106 agreements.

1 Based on 2.4ha per 1000 people
6.14 The design of open space is a fundamental part of the housing layout and must enable the open space to deliver the maximum number of benefits possible and encourage its use by the whole community. Open spaces can accommodate a wide variety of uses and provide a large range of benefits to their users, including recreation, play, biodiversity, flood alleviation and other environmental benefits; each space will need to be designed with these and local needs in mind.

On and/or Off-Site Contributions

6.15 An off-site financial contribution will be required in lieu of on-site provision where full on site provision is impracticable or not possible or desirable for other reasons. The financial contribution to the Council to provide open space will be produced using the residential calculation (Table 1).

6.16 The current estimated cost of open space provision is £957 per person, based on the costs of 2.4 hectares of open space per 1000 people. This includes the cost of planting, paths, play areas and multi-use games areas. It is intended that contributions will be spent on all types of open space improvements.

6.17 For residential developments, the contribution per dwelling will depend on the house type and the average household size figure for that house type, and the City Council’s existing standard of open space (parks and gardens) provision of 2.4 hectares per 1000 people.

6.18 The calculation is based on the following:

- Average household size calculated according to house type proposed in the development.
- Open space required calculated based on 2.4ha / 1000 people.
- Open space to be provided on site deducted from open space required.
- Balance of open space converted into off-site financial contribution.
Table 1: Financial contributions from residential development by size of dwelling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 bed</th>
<th>2 bed</th>
<th>3 bed</th>
<th>4 bed</th>
<th>5 bed or more</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nottingham City Council Average household figure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution per dwelling</td>
<td>£1,244</td>
<td>£1,799</td>
<td>£2,459</td>
<td>£3,158</td>
<td>£4,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution per £957</td>
<td>1.30 x £957</td>
<td>1.88 x £957</td>
<td>2.57 x £957</td>
<td>3.30 x £957</td>
<td>4.20 x £957</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.19  Please see the example of typical development contributions in Appendix 2

6.20  Where no on-site provision is proposed, the full financial contribution will be required. Where there is some on-site provision, the quantity of open space to be provided on site will be deducted from the total required and the balance converted to a financial sum using the calculation in Appendix 2.
New Commercial development

6.21 Commercial development also results in an increased demand for and use of open space as employees will tend to use open spaces during lunch breaks or before and after work. It will therefore be expected to contribute to open space provision. In many cases, the most appropriate form of open space will be new or enhanced public realm, especially in the City and town centres.

6.22 A contribution towards open space will therefore be negotiated from B1(a) and B1(b) use developments (offices, and research and development/high technology) of over 1000 square metres gross internal floorspace. Due to their lower employment densities, other new commercial developments (B1(c), B2 and B8, and retail developments) will not be required to contribute as these would not be considered to generate significant new need for open space.

6.23 The amount of provision will be based on:
- The type of B1 use
- Minimum size threshold of 1000 sq m
- Gross increase in commercial floor space
- Assumed number of employees based on average employment densities
- Cost per employee of providing, enhancing or maintaining open space

Table 2: Employment Densities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of B1 use</th>
<th>Employment density (space per employee)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B1 (a) Offices</td>
<td>12 sq m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 (b) research &amp; development/high technology</td>
<td>50 sq m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The space per employee is taken from Employment Densities: A Full Guide (Final Report for English Partnerships, Revised Edition 2015). This is the latest comprehensive study which includes a broad range of commercial uses. These figures will be updated as appropriate to reflect the latest revision.
Cost per employee

6.24 The cost per employee is derived from the average cost per bedspace (£957) referred to in the residential section above. Some people reside and work in the City (49%) but the remainder of people (51%) who work in the City, live outside of the City boundary. The commercial contribution will only be applied in respect of employees travelling to work in the City boundary from elsewhere as it is assumed that those who live within the City will already have contributed through living in developments which are compliant with the policy. The latest information on in-commuting is derived from the 2011 Census. This factor will be applied for the purposes of calculating financial contributions.

6.25 It is considered that employees will not use all types of open space, but will be more inclined to use public realm and local park areas therefore a further 50% discount will be applied to take account of this.

6.26 Where provision of informal open space is to be made on site, the size of space required will be based on employment density assumptions in accordance with Table 2 above.

**Fig 1: Formula for calculation of financial contribution**

The amount of contribution is based on the gross floorspace to be provided, using the formula:

\[ A = \frac{B}{C} \times D, \]

where;

- **A** = total amount of contribution
- **B** = Total gross internal floorspace (sq m)
- **C** = Floorspace per employee (from Table 2)
- **D** = Contribution per employee of £244 (made up of 51% of the average cost of £957 to provide outdoor sports facilities and casual or informal open space, plus a further 50% discount based on employees only using certain types of open space).

6.27 For open space on site, the contribution is derived from the Council’s standard of 2.4 hectares per 1000 people, as per the calculation for residential development. Applying the assumptions set out above, this equates to 6 sq m per employee for B1(a) use, and 25 sq m per employee for B1(b) use.

6.28 New open space provided within developments must be easily accessible, well located so as to be safe and welcoming and include the necessary infrastructure such as paths, lighting, furniture and play equipment. The design of open space must also be a fundamental part of the development and enable the green space to deliver the maximum number of benefits possible, including biodiversity benefits.
On and/or Off-Site Contributions

6.29 An off-site financial contribution will be required if provision cannot be fully provided on site (in accordance with Table 2). The financial contribution to the Council to provide open space will be produced using the commercial calculation (Appendix 3).

6.30 In some circumstances a mix of on-site open space and an off-site financial contribution may be appropriate.

6.31 In these cases, the quantity of open space to be provided on site will be deducted from the total required and the balance converted to a financial sum.

General advice

6.32 Developers and applicants are advised to consult the Development Management Team prior to submitting planning applications for any new residential or commercial development;
Development Management Team (0115) 876 4447, planning@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

6.33 The cost index will be updated on an annual basis using the SPONS price. Revised charges will be published on the Council’s website.

Evidence Base

6.34 The City’s eight Parks and Open Space Investment Plans have been prepared on an area and individual site basis and reflect the future aspirations of the community. It is proposed that they will be updated regularly. Open space contributions will be spent on priorities as set out in these plans.

Relevant documents:
- NCC Parks Investment Plans
- NCC Playing Pitch Strategy (2018)
- NCC Breathing Space (2010)
- NCC ‘Toolkit’ Assessments
- NCC Sustainable Community Strategy
- NCC Sport and Physical Activity Strategy 2015-19
Further Information

Further information can be obtained from the following websites:

- Landscape Institute: www.landscape institute.org
- Notts Wildlife Trust: www.nottinghamshirewildlife.org
- Sport England: www.sportengland.org
Appendix 1  Aligned Core Strategy Policies

Policy 16: Green Infrastructure, Parks and Open Space

1. A strategic approach to the delivery, protection and enhancement of Green Infrastructure will be taken, through the establishment of a network of regional and sub-regional Green Infrastructure corridors and assets, particularly focusing on links between Nottingham and Derby, together with corridors and assets of a more local level which will be defined through part 2 Local Plans.

2. The approach will require that:

   a) existing and potential Green Infrastructure corridors and assets are protected and enhanced. Priority for the location of new or enhanced strategic Green Infrastructure will be given to locations for major residential development identified in Policy 2, the Strategic River Corridors of the Trent, Erewash and Leen rivers, canal corridors, Greenwood Community Forest, and Urban Fringe areas;

   b) where new development has an adverse impact on Green Infrastructure corridors or assets, alternative scheme designs that have no or little impact should be considered before mitigation is provided (either on site or off site as appropriate). The need for and benefit of the development will be weighed against the harm caused;

   c) developments proposed through the Core Strategies should enhance the Strategic Green Infrastructure network (either on site or off site or through contributions as appropriate). Non-strategic sites will be assessed through part 2 Local Plans;

   d) links to and between the Green Infrastructure network will be promoted to increase access, especially in areas of identified deficit, for recreational and non-motorised commuting purposes, and to allow for the migration of species; and e) Landscape Character is protected, conserved or enhanced where appropriate in line with the recommendations of the Greater Nottingham Landscape Character Assessment. Criteria for the assessment of proposals and any areas of locally valued landscape requiring additional protection will be included in part 2 Local Plans.
3. New or enhanced Green Infrastructure corridors and assets should be as inclusive as possible, multifunctional and look to make provision for more than one of the following:

a) access to employment and leisure facilities and to Green Infrastructure corridors or assets and the countryside;

b) physical activity and wellbeing opportunities for local residents such as formal sports provision;

c) educational resource for local residents;

d) biodiversity opportunities;

e) tackling and adapting to climate change;

f) enhancement of landscape character;

g) protection or enhancement of heritage assets; and

h) opportunities for sustainable leisure and tourism.

4. Parks and open space should be protected from development and deficiencies addressed in part 2 Local Plans. Exceptions may be made if the development is a small part of the Green Infrastructure network and will not be detrimental to its function, or the development is a use associated with parks and open spaces or if none of the above apply the park or open space is shown to be underused or undervalued. Alternative scheme designs that have no or little impact should be considered before mitigation is provided (either onsite or off site or through contributions as appropriate). Where parks or open spaces are under used or undervalued, the reasons for this should be explored and where possible addressed prior to alternative uses being permitted.
Policy 17: Biodiversity

1. Biodiversity will be increased over the plan period by:
   a) protecting, restoring, expanding and enhancing existing areas of biodiversity interest, including areas and networks of habitats and species listed in the UK and Nottinghamshire Biodiversity Action Plans;
   b) ensuring that fragmentation of the Green Infrastructure network is avoided wherever possible and improvements to the network benefit biodiversity, including at a landscape scale, through the incorporation of existing habitats and the creation of new habitats;
   c) seeking to ensure new development provides new biodiversity features, and improves existing biodiversity features wherever appropriate;
   d) supporting the need for the appropriate management and maintenance of existing and created habitats through the use of planning conditions, planning obligations and management agreements; and
   e) ensuring that where harm to biodiversity is unavoidable, and it has been demonstrated that no alternative sites or scheme designs are suitable, development should as a minimum firstly mitigate and if not possible, compensate at a level equivalent to the biodiversity value of the habitat lost.

2. Designated international, national and local sites of biological or geological importance for nature conservation will be protected in line with the established hierarchy of designations and further sites will be designated where they meet the relevant national or local criteria.

3. Development on or affecting other non-designated sites or wildlife corridors with biodiversity value will only be permitted where it can be demonstrated that the need for the development outweighs any harm caused by the development and that adequate mitigation measures are put in place.
Appendix 1

Policy 19: Developer Contributions

1. All development will be expected to:

   a) meet the reasonable cost of new infrastructure required as a consequence of the proposal;

   b) where appropriate, contribute to the delivery of necessary infrastructure to enable the cumulative impacts of developments to be managed, including identified transport infrastructure requirements; and

   c) provide for the future maintenance of facilities provided as a result of the development.

2. The Councils intend to introduce Community Infrastructure Levies to secure infrastructure that has been identified as necessary to support new development and to achieve Core Strategies objectives.

3. Prior to the implementation of a Community Infrastructure Levy, planning obligations will be sought to secure all new infrastructure necessary to support new development.
Appendix 2 Costings for Residential Development Contributions

Is the development for 10 or more dwellings?

Table 1 is used to calculate the open space requirements. Can this open space requirement be accommodated on site?

No contributions required

No financial contribution required. Developer to provide 24 sq m of open space per person

The quantity of open space provision proposed to be accommodated on site will be deducted from the total requirement and the balance converted to a financial sum. See example calculation 3 in Appendix 2

Example calculations:

Example 1 – Full off-site financial contribution

A development for 15 dwellings proposed, made up of 10 x 3 beds and 5 x 4 beds. Developer proposes no on-site provision.

The off-site contribution would therefore be:

\[
\begin{align*}
10 \times \£2,459 \text{ (contribution for 3 bed dwelling)} & = \£24,590 \\
+ 5 \times \£3,158 \text{ (contribution per 4 bed dwelling)} & = \£15,790 \\
\text{Total} & = \£40,380
\end{align*}
\]
Example 2 – Full on-site provision

A development for 15 dwellings proposed, made up of 10 x 3 beds and 5 x 4 beds. Developer proposes full on-site provision.

The on-site provision would therefore be:

10 x 2.57 (average household figure) x 24 sq m = 616.8 sq m
+ 5 x 3.3 (average household figure) x 24 sq m = 396 sq m

Total = 1012.8 sq m

Example 3 – Mix of on-site provision and off-site financial contributions

A development for 15 dwellings proposed, made up of 10 x 3 beds and 5 x 4 beds. Developer proposes full on-site provision for the 10 x 3 beds only, as below:

10 x 2.57 (average household figure) x 24 sq m = 616.8 sq m

The off-site financial contribution would therefore be for the remaining 5 x 4 bed dwellings as set out below:

5 x £3,158 (contribution per 4 bed dwelling) = £15,790

Student Accommodation

Example 1 - Full off-site financial contribution

300 bedspace development = 300 x £957 = £287,100

Example 2 - Full on-site contribution

300 bedspace development = 300 x 24 sq m = 7,200 sq m

Example 3 - Mix of on-site provision and off-site financial contribution

Open space requirement for 200 bedspaces to be provided on-site:
200 x 24 sq m = 4,800 sq m

Remaining off-site financial contribution would be:
100 x £957 = £95,700
Appendix 3  Costings for Commercial Development Contributions

Is the development for B1(a) or B1(b) use and over 1,000 sq m gross increase in floorspace?

Yes

Table 2 is used to calculate the open space requirements. Can the open space requirement be accommodated on site?

No

Full financial contribution required using Fig 1: Formula for calculation of financial contribution

No contributions required

Yes

No financial contribution required.

The quality and quantity of open space provision proposed to be accommodated on site will be deducted from the total requirement and the balance converted to a financial sum. See example calculation below

Partially

Example calculations for B1 office development:

For an office development of 100,000 sq ft = 9,290 sq m = 774 employees

(9,290 divided by 12 as per Table 2)

Example 1 – Full off-site financial contribution

1 employee requires 6 sq m of open space provision at a cost of £244 the total contribution = 774 x £244 (cost per employee) = £181,536

Example 2 – Full on-site provision

1 employee requires 6 sq m of open space provision.

Total on-site provision = 774 x 6 sq m = 4,644 sq m
Example 3 - Mix of on-site provision and off-site contribution

If 2,400 sq m of open space was provided on site, the off-site financial contribution would be calculated by working out how much it will cost to provide the remainder of open space required i.e. 4,644 minus 2,400 times the cost to provide this amount of open space.

Therefore the financial contribution would be

\[ \frac{2,244}{6} \times £244 = £91,256 \]
Appendix 4  

Sustainability Appraisal of ‘The Provision of Open Space in New Residential and Commercial Development’ SPD

Sustainability Appraisal (SA) is a statutory process, which must be undertaken for every new planning document in accordance with the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act (2004). The purpose of SA is to access the economic, social and environmental impacts of projects, strategies or plans, so that the preferred option promotes, rather than inhibits sustainable development.

In addition to SA, European Directive 2001/42/EC (commonly referred to as Strategic Environmental Assessment or SEA), requires that Local Authorities undertake an ‘environmental assessment’ of any plans and programmes they prepare that are likely to have a significant effect upon the environment. The requirements of the SEA have been incorporated into the SA for the LAPP.

The SA has comprised an integral part of the plan making process and has performed a key role in providing a sound evidence base for the plan. The process has appraised the social, environmental and economic effects of the LAPP from the outset through its various preparation stages. In doing so it has helped to ensure that the decisions made have contributed to achieving sustainable development.

Furthermore, the SA has recommended some changes to help ensure that the LAPP policies and site allocations are as sustainable as possible. It has informed the decision making process by facilitating the evaluation of alternatives and also considered the cumulative, synergistic and secondary impacts of the LAPP policies and sites.

The SA has also demonstrated that the plan is the most appropriate when considering reasonable alternatives and, where negative impacts have been found, suggested suitable mitigation measures to try and overcome them. Monitoring arrangements have also been put in place to ensure that the impact of the policies can be properly evaluated.

This SPD is supplementary to Policy EN2: Open Space in New Development and Policy IN4: Developer Contributions of the LAPP and it is not considered that the guidance contained in the SPD will have any significant effects over and above those of the Policy. Policy EN2 and Policy IN4 have been subject to SA as part of this LAPP preparation process. Full details of the LAPP SA process, methodology and results can be found at www.nottinghamcity.gov.uk/localplan.

An extract of the appraisals for Policy EN2 and Policy IN4 are provided on the following pages.
## Appendix 4

### Appraisal of Policy EN2: Open Space in New Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Very major/important positive</th>
<th>Major positive</th>
<th>Moderate to major positive</th>
<th>Moderate positive</th>
<th>Minor positive</th>
<th>? = unknown impact</th>
<th>No fill = negligible impact, not relevant or neutral overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Heritage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Crime</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Social</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Environment, Biodiversity &amp; GI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Landscape &amp; Townscape</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Natural Resources &amp; flooding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Waste</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Energy &amp; Climate Change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Transport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Employment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Innovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Economic Structure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minor negative

Moderate negative

Moderate to major negative

Major negative

Very major/important negative
# Potential Mitigation Measures

**SA Objectives Appraisal of Policy EN2: Open Space in New Development**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA Objectives</th>
<th>Appraisal of Policy EN2: Open Space in New Development</th>
<th>Potential Mitigation Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Housing</td>
<td>Negligible impact.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Health</td>
<td>Policy seeks to create new open space through development and increase access to existing open space. Additional or improved open space should have health benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Heritage</td>
<td>Negligible impact.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Social</td>
<td>The creation of open space in new development could result in increased social interaction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Environment, Biodiversity &amp; Green Infrastructure</td>
<td>The provision of open space in new development could create new or enhanced habitats and green infrastructure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Landscape &amp; Townscape</td>
<td>Policy should help secure new and enhanced open space provision leading to a moderate positive impact on the townscape objective.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Natural Resources &amp; Flooding</td>
<td>Negligible impact.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Transport</td>
<td>Negligible impact.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary:**

Summary: A moderate to major positive impact was predicted for the Environment, Biodiversity & GI objective, with further moderate positive impacts predicted for the health, Social and landscape & Townscape objectives. No negative impacts were identified.
## Appraisal of Policy IN4: Developer Contributions

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Very major/important positive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major positive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate to major positive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate positive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor positive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>? = unknown impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No fill = negligible impact, not relevant or neutral overall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor negative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate negative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate to major negative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major negative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Very major/important negative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Summary:
The Housing objective was considered likely to benefit from a very major positive effect, with a major positive impact also anticipated for the Health objective and a moderate to major positive impact for the Social objective. Further moderate positive impacts were identified for the Heritage, Crime, Environment, Biodiversity & GI, Landscape & Townscape, Natural Resources & Flooding, Transport, Employment and Innovation objectives. No negative impacts were identified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA Objectives</th>
<th>Appraisal of Policy IN4: Developer Contributions</th>
<th>Potential Mitigation Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Housing</td>
<td>Policy seeks contributions to deliver affordable housing and directly supports the target for 20% affordable housing on allocated housing sites</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Health</td>
<td>Policy may result in increases or improvements to health such as, improvements to open space, health facilities, improved access to and provision of green infrastructure, sustainable travel and measure to improve air quality. There is also a recognised correlation between increased housing provision (affordable housing in this case) and a positive impact on health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Heritage</td>
<td>Policy allows for contributions to be sought for protection and enhancement of heritage assets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Crime</td>
<td>Policy allows for contributions to be sought to support policing and crime reduction measures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Social</td>
<td>Policy allows for contributions to be sought for education, sport facilities, allotments, community facilities and cultural facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Environment, Biodiversity &amp; Green Infrastructure</td>
<td>Policy may result in increases or improvements to open space and biodiversity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Landscape &amp; Townscape</td>
<td>Policy may result in increases or improvements to landscape and townscape areas through developer contributions being sought for new or enhanced open space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Natural Resources &amp; Flooding</td>
<td>Policy allows for contributions to be sought for drainage and flood protection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Waste</td>
<td>Negligible impact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Energy &amp; Climate Change</td>
<td>Negligible impact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Transport</td>
<td>Policy seeks contribution towards improvements of sustainable transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Employment</td>
<td>Policy seeks provision towards employment and training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Innovation</td>
<td>Policy seeks provision towards employment and education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Economic Structure</td>
<td>Negligible impact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>