

To amongst others drainage@nottinghamcity.gov.uk ;  
'floodriskmanagementstrategy[draft]consultation.docx is in response to your proposed document; first quarter 2012 Notts. County Council conducted a survey re their Local Flood Risk Management Strategy, writing a representation on that, there's been no [awareness of any] public consultation from their flood team since, and 'don't recall specific public consultation from Nottm.-City' on this subject within the last six years.

Objection C. Nottingham City Council ,& the Environment Agency, essentially have not engaged with the public in Clifton concerning watercourse matters here - and in part through not allowing local public to speak at Area Committee meetings. Overall it's - Council(s) their paid 'officers' and 'partners' doing whatever they want - a 'them and us' situation - fuelled-by: money, 'development', funding, 'enhancement' - forget local point of view. So we see watercourses here being un-discussed damaged: Fairham Brook. People see straight through this destructive-racket.

Objection B. The damage that the **Internal Drainage\*Board** cause/caused to the Fairham Brook at Clifton is documented, the City Council should /ought to keep this **\*** concern away from your boundaries and off your land.

Objection D. If someone applied to the planning department to construct what amounts to a bus depot on River Trent flood plain at Queens Drive park-&-ride - while constructing: hack-back greenery /degrade land - install: harsh palisade-fencing [then] lighting, portacabin, and build a car-park extension

'In mitigation' proposed to demolish two non-designated heritage assets from a group of Royal buildings on nearby flood plain at Grove Farm ...

How can a bus depot on the flood plain be: permitted development? or infrastructure resilient.

Those farm buildings at Grove Farm are extant, it's probably within your capabilities to retain them.

What encouragement/information, remit, planning: policy or actions, can you promote to help people, & in-house, realize that hard-surfacing over (perhaps) isn't the way forward.

[Generally speaking] the agricultural farmland within Clifton wards is pleasing, a positive; therefore do you pro-actively encourage best practice re fertilizers/chemicals in regard to health of watercourses and river.

The naturalistic & rural is something deeply valued in England, and we have that here, this needs protecting, not to suffer death by a thousand cuts through those who take from it with their money/'development'/funding/'enhancement'/'investment' angle.

Objection A.

**██████████** once said to me 'we don't want to build on Clifton Pasture(s)'; so why don't you all use all your planning skills to avoid that; that land appears a 'natural sponge'; think about all the knock-on / negative consequences downstream; because the current-System carrying-on, the elements will win.

`Sincerely, Mr. J. Potter .

