

Are you responding as...?

Individual (1)

100%

Landowner/Developer/Agent (-)

Organisation (-)

Other (-)

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (Title\*)

Mr

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (First Name\*)

Trevor

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (Last Name\*)

Hurst

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (Address Line 1\*)

[REDACTED]

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (Address Line 2\*)

[REDACTED]

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (Address Line 3)

Nottingham

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (Address Line 4)

Nottingham

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (Address Line 5)

[REDACTED]

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (Post Code\*)

[REDACTED]

Local Plan Part 2 MASTER

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (E-mail address)



Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?

TICK ONE BOX FOR EACH ROW (Submission of the Local Plan Part 2 for examination?)

Yes (1)	100%
No (-)	

Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?

TICK ONE BOX FOR EACH ROW (Publication of the recommendations of the Inspector?)

Yes (1)	100%
No (-)	

Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?

TICK ONE BOX FOR EACH ROW (Adoption of the Local Plan Part 2?)

Yes (1)	100%
No (-)	

Does your response relate to..?

TICK ONE BOX ONLY

a Site (whether included or not within the Local Plan) (1)	100%
--	------

Please specify the site.

If the site you wish to comment on is not proposed to be allocated please select the last option of "Other site not including in the Plan".

PA57 Clifton West (1)	 100%
-----------------------	---

Local Plan Part 2 MASTER

Do you consider the Local Plan - Part 2 to be legally compliant?  
(Please read the following guidance note and indicate whether or not you feel the Plan is legally compliant)

A plan is considered legal when it complies with the various regulations that govern how it should be prepared. Key issues the Inspector will look at include:

- a) Whether it is in the Local Development Scheme;
- b) Whether community consultation was carried out in accordance with the Statement of Community Involvement;
- c) Whether the requirements of the relevant Regulations have been followed;
- d) Whether the appropriate notifications have been made;
- e) Whether a Sustainability Appraisal assessing social, environmental and economic factors has been completed and made public;
- f) Whether the document has regard to the Sustainable Community Strategy for the areas it covers; and
- g) Whether the requirements of the Duty to Cooperate have been met.

Yes, I do consider it to be legally compliant (1)



100%

With the above in mind do you consider the Local Plan Part 2 to be 'sound'?

Yes (1)



100%

If you consider that the Plan is unsound, do you think it is..  
(Please tick one box for each row) (Positively Prepared - Nottingham City Council have objectively assessed the need for homes, jobs, services and infrastructure and these will be delivered where sustainable.)

Yes (-)

No (-)

If you consider that the Plan is unsound, do you think it is..  
(Please tick one box for each row) (Justified - The Plan is based upon a robust and credible evidence base.)

Yes (-)

No (-)

Local Plan Part 2 MASTER

If you consider that the Plan is unsound, do you think it is..  
(Please tick one box for each row) (Effective - The Plan will deliver what it sets out to. )

Yes (-)

No (-)

If you consider that the Plan is unsound, do you think it is..  
(Please tick one box for each row) (Consistent with National Policy - The Plan accords with the National Planning Policy Framework or includes clear and convincing reasons for doing something different. )

Yes (-)

No (-)

Please explain why you 'support' or 'do not support' the Local Plan Part 2 (ie why you think the Plan is/is not legally compliant/sound). Try and be as precise as possible.

Number of characters left: {left}

**4088** I do not support the part of the local plan that refers to the development of the Clifton West land adjacent to Hawksley Gardens for the following reasons. Having lived on Hawksley Gardens since it was built in 1988 I understand and know the area and the estate very well. Hawksley Gardens is already over crowded with the number of cars per property making the road and therefore access to properties already built difficult and congested. The location of the new access road is already widely used as over spill parking for a number of cars. The access onto Hawksley Gardens is already

**4572** congested with the turning being off a bed in the road and cars regularly parked on the main Hartness Road. Large delivery vehicles, emergency vehicles etc struggle to access the street, the bin lorry already struggles to navigate the parked cars on the road. Additional traffic would put a huge strain on the traffic light system in place on the Crusader Island. This is the only access to the entire Barton Green estate. Sometimes there are 6/7 cars at the lights with only 3/4 being let out at any one time. More and more cars are going through the lights on red because of the wait at this junction. There is

**4573** currently only one bus service that serves this estate being the number 53. The land that is proposed is currently green belt land and this should be considered when considering this development.

If your response is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination in person?

No, I do not wish to participate in person at the examination (1)



100%