

Are you responding as...?

Individual (-)

Landowner/Developer/Agent (1)

100%

Organisation (-)

Other (-)

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (Title\*)

Mr

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (First Name\*)

Matt

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (Last Name\*)

Law

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (Address Line 1\*)

[REDACTED]

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (Address Line 2\*)

West Bridgford

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (Address Line 3)

Nottingham

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (Post Code\*)

[REDACTED]

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (Telephone number)

[REDACTED]

Your Details (\* denotes a mandatory field) (E-mail address)

[REDACTED]

Local Plan Part 2 MASTER

Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?  
TICK ONE BOX FOR EACH ROW (Submission of the Local Plan Part 2 for examination?)

Yes (-)

No (1)  100%

Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?  
TICK ONE BOX FOR EACH ROW (Publication of the recommendations of the Inspector?)

Yes (-)


No (1)  100%

Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?  
TICK ONE BOX FOR EACH ROW (Adoption of the Local Plan Part 2?)

Yes (1)  100%

No (-)

Does your response relate to..?  
TICK ONE BOX ONLY

a Site (whether included or not within the Local Plan) (1)  100%

Please specify the site.

If the site you wish to comment on is not proposed to be allocated please select the last option of "Other site not including in the Plan".

PA28 Ransom Road - Hine Hall (1)  100%

Local Plan Part 2 MASTER

Do you consider the Local Plan - Part 2 to be legally compliant?  
(Please read the following guidance note and indicate whether or not you feel the Plan is legally compliant)

A plan is considered legal when it complies with the various regulations that govern how it should be prepared. Key issues the Inspector will look at include:

- a) Whether it is in the Local Development Scheme;
- b) Whether community consultation was carried out in accordance with the Statement of Community Involvement;
- c) Whether the requirements of the relevant Regulations have been followed;
- d) Whether the appropriate notifications have been made;
- e) Whether a Sustainability Appraisal assessing social, environmental and economic factors has been completed and made public;
- f) Whether the document has regard to the Sustainable Community Strategy for the areas it covers; and
- g) Whether the requirements of the Duty to Cooperate have been met.

Yes, I do consider it to be legally compliant (1)  100%

No, I do not consider it to be legally compliant (-)

With the above in mind do you consider the Local Plan Part 2 to be 'sound'?

Yes (-)

No (1)  100%

If you consider that the Plan is unsound, do you think it is..  
(Please tick one box for each row) (Positively Prepared - Nottingham City Council have objectively assessed the need for homes, jobs, services and infrastructure and these will be delivered where sustainable.)

Yes (-)

No (1)  100%

If you consider that the Plan is unsound, do you think it is..  
(Please tick one box for each row) (Justified - The Plan is based upon a robust and credible evidence base.)

Yes (-)

No (1)  100%

Local Plan Part 2 MASTER

If you consider that the Plan is unsound, do you think it is..  
(Please tick one box for each row) (Effective - The Plan will deliver what it sets out to. )

Yes (-)

No (1)  100%

If you consider that the Plan is unsound, do you think it is..  
(Please tick one box for each row) (Consistent with National Policy - The Plan accords with the National Planning Policy Framework or includes clear and convincing reasons for doing something different. )

Yes (1)  100%

No (-)

Please explain why you 'support' or 'do not support' the Local Plan Part 2 (ie why you think the Plan is/is not legally compliant/sound). Try and be as precise as possible.

Number of characters left: {left}

4074

4562

I am not necessarily against the proposed developments, but the proposals for site PA28 are on land that surrounds a Grade II listed building, amongst trees under protection orders and would require the joint land owners (around 50 of us) to all agree to the developments or agree to sell the land for development. There has been a lot of resistance from residents to past proposals, and I therefore cannot imagine these developments would ever see the light of day. It is right that the council explore options, but I don't think the proposals for this site are realistic or will ever materialise, so it seems misleading to include them as part of the housing growth proposals.

If your response is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination in person?

No, I do not wish to participate in person at the examination (1)  100%