

Are you responding as...?

Individual (1)  100%

Landowner/Developer/Agent (-)

Organisation (-)

Other (-)

Your Details (* denotes a mandatory field) (Title*)

Mr

Your Details (* denotes a mandatory field) (First Name*)

Ruki

Your Details (* denotes a mandatory field) (Last Name*)

De Silva

Your Details (* denotes a mandatory field) (Address Line 1*)

[REDACTED]

Your Details (* denotes a mandatory field) (Address Line 2*)

[REDACTED]

Your Details (* denotes a mandatory field) (Address Line 3)

[REDACTED]

Your Details (* denotes a mandatory field) (Address Line 4)

Nottingham

Your Details (* denotes a mandatory field) (Post Code*)

[REDACTED]

Agent Details (if not applicable click 'Next') (First Name)

r

Agent Details (if not applicable click 'Next') (Last Name)

silva

Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?

TICK ONE BOX FOR EACH ROW (Submission of the Local Plan Part 2 for examination?)

Yes (-)

No (1)



Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?

TICK ONE BOX FOR EACH ROW (Publication of the recommendations of the Inspector?)

Yes (1)

No (-)



Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?

TICK ONE BOX FOR EACH ROW (Adoption of the Local Plan Part 2?)

Yes (1)

No (-)



Does your response relate to..?

TICK ONE BOX ONLY

a Site (whether included or not within the Local Plan) (1)



Please specify the site.

PA24 College Way - Melbury School Playing Field (1)

100%

Local Plan Part 2 MASTER

Do you consider the Local Plan - Part 2 to be legally compliant?
(Please read the following guidance note and indicate whether or not you feel the Plan is legally compliant)

A plan is considered legal when it complies with the various regulations that govern how it should be prepared. Key issues the Inspector will look at include:

- a) Whether it is in the Local Development Scheme;
- b) Whether community consultation was carried out in accordance with the Statement of Community Involvement;
- c) Whether the requirements of the relevant Regulations have been followed;
- d) Whether the appropriate notifications have been made;
- e) Whether a Sustainability Appraisal assessing social, environmental and economic factors has been completed and made public;
- f) Whether the document has regard to the Sustainable Community Strategy for the areas it covers; and
- g) Whether the requirements of the Duty to Cooperate have been met.

Yes, I do consider it to be legally compliant (1)  100%

No, I do not consider it to be legally compliant (-)

With the above in mind do you consider the Local Plan Part 2 to be 'sound'?

Yes (1)  100%

No (-)

If you consider that the Plan is unsound, do you think it is..
(Please tick one box for each row) (Positively Prepared - Nottingham City Council have objectively assessed the need for homes, jobs, services and infrastructure and these will be delivered where sustainable.)

Yes (-)

No (-)

If you consider that the Plan is unsound, do you think it is..
(Please tick one box for each row) (Justified - The Plan is based upon a robust and credible evidence base.)

Yes (-)

No (-)

Local Plan Part 2 MASTER

If you consider that the Plan is unsound, do you think it is..
(Please tick one box for each row) (Effective - The Plan will deliver what it sets out to.)

Yes (-)

No (-)

If you consider that the Plan is unsound, do you think it is..
(Please tick one box for each row) (Consistent with National Policy - The Plan accords with the National Planning Policy Framework or includes clear and convincing reasons for doing something different.)

Yes (-)

No (-)

Please explain why you 'support' or 'do not support' the Local Plan Part 2 (ie why you think the Plan is/is not legally compliant/sound). Try and be as precise as possible.

Number of characters left: {left}

4060

I have no objections to the development of PA24. I wish to bring to the Council's attention my concerns about the access road, namely College Way, to this site. I live adjoining College Way in the relatively new development that created College Way. It is clear that the road is not wide enough for two cars parked on each side of the street and to let a fire-engine (or other big vehicles like delivery trucks) through. An ambulance might get through IF there is sufficient room left in between the parked vehicles (not necessarily cars), but equally, an ambulance might not be able to get through if vehicles are parked in a way that restricts passage of larger vehicles. If PA24 is to have access only via College Way, then it is vital that you consider this aspect for the safety of the future residents in the planned development as well as that of the residents in College Way. Recently, Nottinghamshire County Council made this road (& other adjoining roads) Permit Holders only parking between 9am & 4pm Monday to Friday. This was because of the nuisance parking by students of Bilborough College. However that particular problem only exists during term time & then again between the College opening times. It does not stop residents in College Way parking on both sides of the road; with a Permit during the restricted hours and freely at all other times. Of course, an emergency may occur at any time of the day and access by larger vehicles may also be required at any time. The solution might well mean narrowing of the payments and/or putting Double Yellow lines in place as appropriate.

If your response is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination in person?

No, I do not wish to participate in person at the examination (1)



100%