

7th March, 2016

To: planning policy .

Response On: your part 2 document publication draft.

4258

Proposal PA59 unsound(/might not be legally compliant) /ineffective/unjustified/inconsistent re national policy. Objections: it is Green Belt, with a greenfield element ; deliberate(?) failings to secure the location for future educational use, leading to e.g. un-consulted partial demolition ; detriment re Fairham Brook Nature Reserve ; part of the Green Belt countryside landscape.

4256

Proposal PA57 unsound/unjustified ; 'not really in favour of, concerns re topography and worthy views towards.

4257

Proposal PA58 (unsound/unjustified) this location ought to be put just to residential use.

4141

Proposal PA54 it's relevant to be sympathetic /sensitive to the river scenery.

Part 2 proposals are intended to focus on plan details, yet in reality, nearly all likeminded and I see is *damage* - to (semi-)natural ,also built, environment - at this particular local area, Clifton, and environs.

Having officially channelled matters to planning'/the-council about that since 2008 on for example: Green Belt /countryside (aesthetics);

transport-schemes (being) railroaded ; transport/education/sport used as 'encroaching- devices' ; tree losses ; SINC/LWS (drainage') detriment ;

'obligations -effects ; excessive demolition /'regeneration-ruse' ;

not protecting non-designated heritage' ; influx/student-numbers ;

opposed mineral-exploitation ; deleting Mature Landscape Areas ;

deliberate(?) land-degradation ; light-pollution ;

'development' in ,or on land influencing, the floodplain ;

(local) residents not permitted to speak at ('area') committees ;

planning enforcement ignoring issues ; unsympathetic-design/

forced-urbanization ; development-management/planning'/the-council

doing what they want.

Numerous policy proposals: the ENs & EN5, HE1, IN2, MI1 & MI2 [un-protecting] , these would be variously-unsound interpreted to the-council's own ends.

4260,4261,
4262,4263

4264

4265

4266

4267

4268

4269

A suggestion, introduce a quick/high-level/with-teeth/outside of the local set-up citizens' go-to, for environment/(democracy)/planning complaints (about a council &/or its partners).

4270

Central Government need to really see/scrutinize how this particular local area is being environment and planning ravaged ; to devolution-deal this council, it - & them - combined-authority powers would mean further - environment and planning - marginalization of local residents, vs any number of - up to 19 - councils.

This letter outlines why I request to participate at the Examination.

Mr. J. Potter .

Clifton

Response received for Horizon Factory Consultation

9th November 2016

To: Planning Policy
 Nottm. City Council,
 Loxley House, Station Street
 NG2 3NG

Response on: PA86 Horizon Factory, Thane Road, Lenton

- 5098 This location visually relates to:
 parts of Clifton for example semi-natural Clifton Grove,
 River Trent Valley landscape with Green Belt and semi-rural fringe 'riverscape';
 (similarly) have made representations before re Grove Farm and PA54 .
- 5107 On its development principles proposals, has it been considered whether, e.g.
 at the south-western segment of the location, some residential use might
 instead have been proffered?; "long views" are acknowledged, it is thought the
 "careful design" - and this should include respecting the riverscape's unlit-ness -
 needs particular focus - so too in its sustainability appraisal -
 5108 with regard to the denoted above.
 5109
- 5110 It would cause a large amount of demolition waste if pulled down, what's its
 condition; it is and always was incongruous to location; brutalist in appearance
 (glimpsed in the film Control ,director A.Corbijn, 2007);
- 5107 what other use(s) not put forward might it be utilized to?
- 5111 large species native trees should have been planted to grow to soften it
 towards the Green Belt fringe adjacent; if this building goes or whether it goes
 on, opportunities to regain some sensitivity there ought to be pursued presently.
- 5112 I wish to be notified, ticking yes, about submission of' and publication of
 the recommendations' re question four, Q4 of your response form.
 'On your 'consultation' list I am *disappointed* at not being consulted
 [- 'compliant ?] on this additional location allocation proposal concerning
 your proposed local plan part 2 land and planning policies document;
 only through complete chance becoming aware of this Thurs.03/11/16 .

'Sincerely,
 Mr. J. Potter .